

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,925	08/05/2003	Richard Hull	B-5190 621139-0	1058
		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			CAI, WAYNE HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 011 00111110, 0	2.002. 2.00		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/635,925	HULL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Wayne Cai	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 July 2007</u> .					
,-	·					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-22 and 24-30 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-22 and 24-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed July 30, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue that there is nothing indefinite about the phrase "likely to be holding". The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Applicants agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the term "likely". That is, "likely to be holding the data item" means that the mobile is not necessary holding any data item. Even though the mobile is having a chance of holding the data item, or might be holding the data item, but it is not necessary that the mobile is holding any data item. Furthermore, the Examiner certainly understands that this is one of the inventive notions in the claimed invention because the system not only keeping track of the mobile that is holding the data item, but also keeping track of the mobile that is likely to be holding data item. However, one skilled in the art would not be able to ascertain how or which a mobile is considered as the one that is likely to be holding the data item.

The Applicants assert at the last paragraph of page 2 that those skilled in the art can certainly decide for themselves, without undue experimentation, how likely they want a device to be holding in order to keep track of it in their particular implementation of the Applicants' invention. Based on the Applicants' own assertion, it means that the range, the distance, the parameters, etc. are still needed to be determined by one

Art Unit: 2617

skilled in the art when the claimed invention is applied or implemented. It is also clear to the Examiner that the Applicants are uncertain at the moment or at the time of the invention to determine, define, or even decide how likely is reasonably considered as "likely to be holding the data item". The phrase "likely to be holding" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear how likely the mobile holding the data item is considered as "likely to be holding" in order to satisfy the claim limitations. One skilled in the art could easily conceptualize that "likely to be holding" means that there is a chance that the mobile holding the data item, but it also means that it does not hold truth at all times, and there is a chance that the mobile is not holding the data item.

Therefore, in response to this office action, the Examiner respectfully invites the Applicants to amend claim language to clear up the ambiguity of the claimed language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claims 1-7, 9-22, and 24-30, the phrase "likely to be holding" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The Examiner notes that "likely to be holding" could render the claim indefinite because the mobile device is not necessary holding any data item. More importantly,

Art Unit: 2617

the Applicant's specification does not specify how "likely" to consider that the mobile device is likely to be holding the data item (i.e., based on time, distance, etc.)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7, 9-22, and 24-30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (571) 272-7798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Cai Art Unit 2617

DUC M. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600